UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,894	04/06/2006	Mitsuaki Morimoto	0033-1075PUS1	3375
=====	7590 01/09/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		CHIMIAK, EMILY ANN		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
		•		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com-

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/574,894	MORIMOTO, MITSUAKI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Emily Chimiak	1791
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
Period for Reply	VIO OET TO EVOIDE AMOUT	LIVO) OR THIRTY (20) RAVE
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Do - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. It timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09/18	<u>8/2007</u> .	
<u> </u>	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	L R	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	<u>-</u>
Application Papers	•	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b)☐ objected to by th	e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•,,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority arraba or over a grave	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applic	ation No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	ived in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not recei	ved.
Attachment(s)	. 🗖	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/12/2007</u> .		al Patent Application

10/574,894 Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Correspondence by Telephone

In a telephone conversation with Mr. Downs on January 3, 2007, it was brought to the examiner's attention that an amended claim, claim 6, had not been addressed in the office action mailed November 09, 2007. The examiner agreed to write another final action addressing claim 6 and to restart the period for response as of the mailing date of this revised final action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yamada et al. (US 6001203).

As to claims 1 and 2, Yamada et al. discloses a method of manufacturing a liquid crystal display panel, comprising the steps of:

Application/Control Number:

10/574,894 Art Unit: 1791

Arranging a sealant on a main surface of at least one of two substrates to be bonded together;

Dropping a liquid crystal on one of said two substrates; and

Bonding said two substrates together; wherein

Said step of bonding includes the step of setting said sealant after said liquid crystal sandwiched between said two substrates is attached under compression (spread) to contact said sealant along a whole periphery of said liquid crystal while both of said two substrates contact said sealant along the whole periphery of said sealant (figure 5, col. 4 lines 24-27 and col. 5 lines 13-25).

The liquid crystal is considered to be spread before the step of setting because the layer of liquid crystal (3) is continuous in Figure 5 In any event, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the step of spreading the liquid crystal in order to form an even layer.

It is noted that the setting step is delayed for a period of time until after the attaching via spacer means in a reduced atmosphere (equated to the spreading step disclosed by applicant).

See col. 3 lines 23-38.

As to claim 3, the sealant is an ultraviolet-setting sealant and said step of setting includes the step of irradiating said sealant with ultraviolet light (col. 4 lines 7-9).

As to claim 4, Yamada et al. further discloses a first curing step by ultraviolet rays and a second curing step by heating (col. 5 lines 1-25).

As to claim 5, the limitations have already been addressed.

As to claim 6, it is a result of routine examination to find the amount of time necessary to uniformly distribute the liquid crystal material 6 depending on the strength of the vacuum that is applied (col. 19 lines 15-22).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 09/18/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As to the argument regarding the forming step, the step of forming the seal that is described in col. 5 is separate from partial curing of the resin. Col. 5 states that "the process comprises a step of a seal forming process..., a first curing step... and a second step to increase curing" (see col. 5 lines 13-25 in Yamada et al.). The order of processing steps presented in column three, namely the following:

- forming a seal
- attaching the substrates
- irradiating the seal to temporarily harden the seal portion and
- heating the seal

is in agreement with the claimed invention (see col. 3 lines 23-38 and col. 4 lines 24-28 in Yamada et al.)

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number:

10/574,894

Art Unit: 1791

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emily Chimiak whose telephone number is (571)272-6486. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:30 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571)272-6486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-2000.



SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATION **TECHNOLOGY 25**